



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,407	09/28/2001	Robert E. Haines	10012345-1	8759
7590 12/01/2006			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80572-2400			2618	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/966,407	HAINES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuan H. Nguyen	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tircuit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 A</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Response To Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see applicant's remarks, filed on 04/24/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C § 102(e) and 35 U.S.C § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Shultz (US PAT. 5,603,087) and Olkkonen et al. (US PAT. 6,842,460 hereinafter, "Olkkonen").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 8-12, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shultz (US PAT. 5,603,087) in view of Olkkonen et al. (US PAT. 6,842,460 hereinafter, "Olkkonen").

Consider claim 1, Shultz teaches a method of identifying and prioritizing wireless network devices, the method comprising: detecting a signal from one or more wireless network devices, wherein each signal has at least one signal quality (see fig. 1a, 1b,

Art Unit: 2618

and 1c col. 3 line 56 through col. 4 line 10); querying for supplemental information from each of the detected wireless network devices (col. 4 lines 11-20); identifying each of the detected wireless network devices that match a selection criteria using the supplemental information (col. 4 lines 21-34).

Shultz does not explicitly show that associating the at least one signal quality with its respective wireless network device for each wireless network device that matches the selection criteria; and prioritizing the wireless network devices that match the selection criteria based on their associated at least one signal quality.

In the same field of endeavor, Olkkonen teaches associating the at least one signal quality with its respective wireless network device for each wireless network device that matches the selection criteria (col. 6 lines 27-43); and prioritizing the wireless network devices that match the selection criteria based on their associated at least one signal quality (col. 6 lines 27-43).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, associating the at least one signal quality with its respective wireless network device for each wireless network device that matches the selection criteria; and prioritizing the wireless network devices that match the selection criteria based on their associated at least one signal quality, as taught by Olkkonen, in order to provide a new short-range wireless device arrives within the communication range of any member of the ad hoc network, its inquiry signals are answered by a member detecting the inquiry. If the responding member is an ad hoc network

Art Unit: 2618

information provider, it responds with information accessed from its memory characterizing the ad hoc network.

Consider claim 2, Olkkonen further teaches detecting a signal from one or more wireless network devices further comprises broadcasting a request (read on "gathers information") from a reference network device and detecting a response from the one or more wireless network devices (col. 21 lines 4-19).

Consider claim 3, Olkkonen further teaches the at least one signal quality includes a signal quality selected from the group consisting of a signal strength, a signal noise and a signal-to-noise ratio (col. 6 lines 44-51).

Consider claim 4, Olkkonen further teaches identifying each of the detected wireless network devices that match a selection criteria comprises at least one selection criterion selected from the group consisting of device type, device name, device features, device capabilities, device status, past device performance, available consumables, transaction costs and device permissions (col. 22 lines 34-38).

Consider claim 5, Shultz further teaches identifying each of the detected wireless network devices that match a selection criteria further comprises: generating a data structure comprising supplemental information associated with the detected wireless network devices (col. 4 lines 21-34); and searching the supplemental information to

identify those detected wireless network devices that match the selection criteria (col. 4 lines 21-34).

Consider claim 6, Olkkonen further teaches associating the at least one signal quality with its respective wireless network device for each wireless network device that matches the selection criteria further comprises associating each at least one signal quality with its respective wireless network device in the data structure prior to searching the supplemental information to identify those detected wireless network devices that match the selection criteria (col. 6 lines 27-43).

Consider claim 8, Olkkonen further teaches prioritizing the wireless network devices that match the selection criteria based on their associated at least one signal quality further comprises prioritizing the wireless network devices that match the selection criteria based on a signal strength of the received signal such that the wireless network device associated with the highest signal strength receives the highest priority (col. 4 lines 60-67).

Consider claim 9, Olkkonen further teaches establishing communication with the wireless network device that matches the selection criteria and has the highest priority (col. 46 lines 51-60).

Consider claim 10, Olkkonen further teaches providing a list of the prioritized

Art Unit: 2618

wireless network devices that match the selection criteria to a user (col. 47 lines 25-29); and establishing communication with a wireless network device selected from the prioritized list by the user (col. 47 lines 25-29).

Consider claim 11, Olkkonen further teaches highlighting a portion of the list of prioritized wireless network devices based on a signal quality of the detected signals (col. 4 lines 60-67).

Consider claim 12, Shultz teaches a method of identifying and prioritizing wireless network devices, the method comprising; for one or more wireless network devices: detecting a wireless network device, wherein the wireless network device transmits a signal having a first signal quality (see fig. 1a, 1b, and 1c col. 3 line 56 through col. 4 line 10); querying the wireless network device to determine whether it is of a desired type (col. 4 lines 11-20); querying the wireless network device to determine whether is has a desired status (col. 4 lines 21-34).

Shultz does not explicitly show that associating the first signal quality with the wireless network device when it is of the desired type and it has the desired status; generating a list of wireless network devices that are of the desired type and have the desired status; and prioritizing the list of wireless network devices based at least on their associated first signal quality.

In the same field of endeavor, Olkkonen teaches associating the first signal quality with the wireless network device when it is of the desired type and it has the Art Unit: 2618

of the desired type and have the desired status (col. 4 lines 60-67); and prioritizing the

desired status (col. 6 lines 27-43); generating a list of wireless network devices that are

Page 6

list of wireless network devices based at least on their associated first signal quality (col.

21 lines 36-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to use, associating the first signal quality with the wireless

network device when it is of the desired type and it has the desired status; generating a

list of wireless network devices that are of the desired type and have the desired status;

and prioritizing the list of wireless network devices based at least on their associated

first signal quality, as taught by Olkkonen, in order to provide a new short-range

wireless device arrives within the communication range of any member of the ad hoc

network, its inquiry signals are answered by a member detecting the inquiry. If the

responding member is an ad hoc network information provider, it responds with

information accessed from its memory characterizing the ad hoc network.

Consider claim 15, Olkkonen further teaches establishing communications with

the wireless network device of the prioritized list of wireless network devices that has

the highest priority (col. 46 lines 51-60).

Consider claim 16, Olkkonen further teaches providing the prioritized list of

Art Unit: 2618

wireless network devices to a user; and in response to a user selection of one of the wireless network devices of the prioritized list of wireless network devices, establishing communications with the selected wireless network device (col. 47 lines 25-29).

Consider claim 17, Olkkonen further teaches a portion of the prioritized list of wireless network devices is highlighted based on a second signal quality of the transmitted signals (col.4 lines 60-67).

Consider claim 18, Shultz teaches a computer-usable medium having computer-readable instructions stored thereon capable of causing a processor to perform a method, the method comprising: for each of one or more transmitting wireless network devices, receiving a signal, wherein the signal has at least one signal quality (see fig. 1a, 1b, and 1c col. 3 line 56 through col. 4 line 10); querying for supplemental information from each wireless network device associated with a received signal (col. 4 lines 11-20); associating each at least one signal quality with its respective wireless network device and its supplemental information (col. 4 lines 21-34); comparing the supplemental information with a selection criteria to determine whether any wireless network device matches the selection criteria (col. 4 lines 21-34).

Shultz does not explicitly show that if a wireless network device matches the selection criteria, prioritizing that wireless network device against other wireless network devices matching the selection criteria, wherein the prioritization is based on the at least

Art Unit: 2618

one signal quality for each of the wireless network devices matching the selection criteria.

In the same field of endeavor, Olkkonen teaches if a wireless network device matches the selection criteria, prioritizing that wireless network device against other wireless network devices matching the selection criteria, wherein the prioritization is based on the at least one signal quality for each of the wireless network devices matching the selection criteria (col. 4 lines 60-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, if a wireless network device matches the selection criteria, prioritizing that wireless network device against other wireless network devices matching the selection criteria, wherein the prioritization is based on the at least one signal quality for each of the wireless network devices matching the selection criteria, as taught by Olkkonen, in order to provide a new short-range wireless device arrives within the communication range of any member of the ad hoc network, its inquiry signals are answered by a member detecting the inquiry. If the responding member is an ad hoc network information provider, it responds with information accessed from its memory characterizing the ad hoc network.

Consider claim 19, Olkkanen further teaches the at least one signal quality comprises a signal strength and wherein the method further comprises: prioritizing the wireless network devices based on signal strength (col. 4 lines 60-67); and establishing

communications with the wireless network device having the highest signal strength (col. 46 lines 51-60).

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shultz in view of Olkkonen and further in view of Terlep et al. (U.S PAT. 5,796,777 hereinafter, "Terlep").

Consider claim 7, Shultz and Olkkonen in combination, fails to teaches prioritizing the wireless network devices that match the selection criteria based on their associated at least one signal quality further comprises prioritizing the wireless network devices using a first sort order based on a first signal quality and using a second sort order based on a second signal quality.

However, Terlep teaches prioritizing the wireless network devices that match the selection criteria based on their associated at least one signal quality further comprises prioritizing the wireless network devices using a first sort order based on a first signal quality and using a second sort order based on a second signal quality (col. 1 lines 28-39).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Terlep into view of Shultz and Olkkonen in order to provide for selecting one of the first and second digitized based on the first and second signal quality measurements.

5. Claims 13-14, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shultz (US PAT. 5,603,087) in view of Olkkonen and further in view of Dupray (U.S PUB. 2004/0266457).

Consider claim 13, Shultz and Olkkonen in combination, fails to teaches the first signal quality is indicative of a relative distance to the transmitting device or a presumed quality of service available from the transmitting device.

However, Dupray teaches the first signal quality is indicative of a relative distance to the transmitting device or a presumed quality of service available from the transmitting device (page 29 [0347] and [0349]).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Dupray into view of Shultz and Olkkonen in order to provide for locating a wireless mobile station using a plurality of mobile station location estimators.

Consider claim 14, Dupray further teaches the signal transmitted from each wireless network device further has at least one additional signal quality (page 31 [0367]).

Consider claim 20, Dupray further teaches attenuating each received signal if at least one of the received signals is saturated (page 32 [0382]).

Conclusion

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop_____ (Explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22313

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-8329. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maung Nay A. can be reached on (571) 272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2618

Page 12

Information Consider the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2618 QUOCHIEN B. VUONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER